A, C. Sch. III-5)			
Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE	Office notes as to action
			(if any) taken on order.
		IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATO C.W.J.C. No. 14863 of	RE AT PATNA 2004
		Mahesh Prasad Mishra & Ors	Petitioner
- 17.		The State of Bihar & Ors	Respondent
3/ 08 03.2006		Heard both the parties	
		By Annexure-3, it a	pears, a final
		gradation list has been p	blished. From
		Annexure-3, it appears that a	draft list was
FEMON		prepared on 18th April, 1987.	In relation to
AUS - I		that draft list, there	were certain
NEEDIL		litigations which reached up	to the Hon'ble
		Supreme Court. In the final 1	st as published
		by Annexure-3 people, who had	been appointed
N Daily		up to 1st September, 1996 hav	e been included.
		The people, therefore, who ha	d been appointed
		after 18th April, 1987 b	ut before 1st
		September, 1996 had no opportu	rity to give any
00 1 U 75 F # F 7 / 1		objection in relation to inclu	sion of names in
		the said list fixing th	eir seniority.
		Petitioners contend they hav	ing had joined
	Kar ta	after 18th April, /1987 b	t before 1st
		September, 1996, although th	eir names have
		been included in the gradation	or list published
		by Annexure-3, but while	fixing their
		seniority, they have been s	Hown below the
12		people, who, should have been	shown below the

petitioners. This contention may be right or

may not be right. The petitioners, however,

contend that they did not get even an

opportunity to make their grievances known in

In normal circumstances, a draft gradation list is required to be published, inviting objections within a time stipulated and thereupon to finalize the final gradation list after disposing of the objections in accordance with law.

The learned counsel for the State has taken instructions and has filed a further counter affidavit. In that, it has stated that in relation to nine of the employees included in the said list Bihar Public Service Commission has withdrawn their recommendations and accordingly, the status of those nine persons is now under cloud. It has been stated that one of those nine persons is the petitioner no.1 herein. It has been stated that after the cloud is removed and if necessary objections, is required will be invited and thereupon the matter would decided. It has seem been stated also that a few people from that list have been promoted and accordingly if the final list is treated as draft list their promotions will be affected.

I wanted the Government to comply with the basic requirement of law and that is to publish a paradation list, inviting objections thereto and thereupon to prepare final gradation list after disposing of such

Serial No. of Order Order ORDER WITH SIGNATURE (if any) taken on order.

objections. It seems that the Government has not at all applied its mind to that aspect. The admitted facts are that the present writ petition is not by one petitioner, whose status is now under cloud but by others too, who did not even get an opportunity to even make their grievances in relation to fixation of their seniority known to the authority. The question is not of interference with the promotions already given. The question is to ascertain whether true seniority was maintained and whether by taking advantage of untrue seniority one has secured a promotion to the deprivation of another.

In those circumstances, this write petition is disposed of by directing the respondents including the State Government to treat Annexure-3 to the write petition as the draft gradation list; and to invite objections in relation thereto within such time as may be determined by the Government. The Government is directed to finalize the gradation list after disposal of those objections in accordance with law within six months from today and after such finalisation to deal with the promotions already granted in accordance with law.

This disposes of the writ petition.

Sell- Rarin Chosh, J.

For To BE TRUE PHOTO COM