105 Mario 100 to





O Jan

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA C.W.J.C.No.15326 of 2004
Kumar Bimal Prasad Vrs. The State of Bihar and Crs.

04/ 1.8.2005.

Heard Mr. Vinod Kumar Kanth Sr.Advocate for the petitioner, Mt. Binod Shanker Tiwary, for Bihar Publis

Service Commission and Mr. Chandra Shekhar, Sr.Advocate for respondent no.6.

9-13/05

Petitioher who appeared in the competitive examination adducted by the Sther Public Service Commission for appointment on the post of District pursuant 22.12.1200(Annexure-1) and was recommended for appointment in backward class category under recommendation dated 22.1.2003(Annexure-2)has come to this court for quashing the subsequent recommendation dated 27.10.2004 Annexure 4 issued in continuation of the earlier recommendation 22.1.2003(Annexure-2)as thereunder petitioner has been replaced by Respondant no.6 in the backward class category with instruction to administrative department to verify the Creamylayar certificate of the said respondent no.C. Learned counsel for the





petitioner appearing in support of this application has contended that in the earlier recommendation dated 22.1.2003 no.6 was recommended as respondent general category candidate as it appears from the subsequent recommendation of the commission dated 27.10.2004 that the Creamylayar failed to furnish certificate until the date of interview in between 5.11.2002 to 8.11.2002. further whaltted that in terms clouse (b) and pof the advertisement applicants were of theted to furnish the the wate of interview certificate till and is respondent notificalled to produce the same until the date of his interview the was rightly considered and recommended cory candidate in the Memmendation dated 22.1.2000. Learned counsel with reference to the letter of Bihar Public Service Commission dated 24.4.2004(Annexure-3) submitted that the commission refused the request of the Secretary of the administrative department to revise the recommendation commission to the as according recommendation dated 22.1.2003 was correct and final and required change. He further contended that when

100) (Je)

W

kumar could not be appointed on the basis of earlier recommendation they move this court in C W.J.No.12527 of 2003 which was disposed of under orders dated 28.0.2004 directing the respondent authorities to proceed and make appointment in terms of the earlier recommendation dated 22.1.2003.

appears when compliance in of the orders of this court dated as pending the authorities of Public ! Service. Commission issued revised recommendation 27.10.2004 whereunder they replaced the petitioner in the backward class category by recommanding respondent no.6 in his place who was earlier recommended as a general category candidate in recommendation dated 22.1.2003. In the served recommendation "it was however clarified that the authorities should verify the status of respondent no.6 in regard to his creamylayer status as the Cremylayer certificate was not produced in the commission.





The petitioner has assailed the said revised recommendation asserting that once respondent no.6 did not produce the Creamylayer, certificate until the date of interview he donsidered and recommended as a general category candidate then at this belated stage he cannot be allowed to replace the petitioner in the backward class category. In support of the said plea learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon a decision of this court in the case of tamanta her vian V. Bihar Public Service Commission, saported in 1995(2)PLJR 72 wherein it has been held as follows:

dect of doubt that doubt that case of the petitioners that a casta certificate can be produced after the Commission has completed the process of selection and published the Marit List."

Eihar Public Service Commission and respondent no.6 have conceded the position that till the date of interview Creamylayar Certificate was not produced by respondent no.6 and he was rightly

considered and recommended as a general category candidate in the recommendation dated 22.1.2003 and considering the said recommendation dated 22.1.2003 a direction by this court in his favour has already issued under orders dated 28.9.2004, as such according to them the revised recommendation dated 27.10.2004 recommending respondent no.6 as the sole backward class candidate even if set aside by this court he will be considered as a general category candidate in the light of his position in the earlier recommendation.

Learned state counsel has contended that the lioner shall be considered as a general category caldidate in the light of the earlier resummendation is the revised resompendation dates 2.10.2004 is quality by this square.

parties, I am of the view that as respondent no.8 did not furnish the creamylayer certificate until the date of his interview which fact is evident from the subsequent recommendation of the

6

Mome No Tradition of the Color of the Color

for information and appropriate to account and account and for Commanustring to and Commanustrians of the Comm

FOR A. R. (A)

AND THE STATE OF T

commission dated 27.10.2004 rightly considered and recommended as general category candidate and petitioner was recommended as backward class candidate which recommendation should be given effect to the light of the earlier orders court dated 29.9.2004 the subsequent recommendation treating respondent no.6 as a backward class category candidate is quashed to that extent.

Application allowed to the extent indicated above. No cost.

Brajech Kumar/-

(V.N.Sinha, J.)

